# NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD

# RESIDUE AND TILLAGE MANAGEMENT MULCH TILL

(Ac.)

#### **CODE 345**

#### **DEFINITION**

Managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting the soil-disturbing activities used to grow crops in systems where the entire field surface is tilled prior to planting.

### **PURPOSE**

- Reduce sheet and rill erosion
- Reduce wind erosion
- Reduce irrigation induced erosion
- Reduce soil particulate emissions
- Maintain or improve soil condition
- Increase plant-available moisture
- Provide food and escape cover for wildlife

#### **CONDITIONS WHERE PRACTICE APPLIES**

This practice applies to all cropland and other land where crops are planted.

This practice includes tillage methods commonly referred to as mulch tillage or chiseling and disking. It applies to stubble mulching on summer-fallowed land, to tillage for annually planted crops and to tillage for planting perennial crops.

It also includes some planting operations such as hoe drills, air seeders and "no-till" drills that disturb a large percentage of the soil surface during the planting operation.

#### **CRITERIA**

## **General Criteria Applicable to All Purposes**

The annual Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR) for all soil-disturbing activities exceeds a value of 30.

All residues shall be uniformly distributed over the entire field.

Residue shall not be burned.

# Additional Criteria to Reduce Sheet and Rill Erosion

The amount of randomly distributed surface residue needed and the amount of surface soil disturbance allowed to reduce erosion to the planned soil loss objective shall be determined using the current approved water erosion prediction technology. Calculations shall account for the effects of other practices in the management system.

### **Additional Criteria to Reduce Wind Erosion**

The amount and orientation of residue needed and the amount of surface soil disturbance allowed to reduce erosion to the planned soil loss objective shall be determined using the current approved wind erosion prediction technology. Calculations shall account for the effects of other practices in the conservation management system.

# Additional Criteria to Reduce Irrigation Induced Erosion

The relative effectiveness of sediment delivery offsite shall be determined using the current approved sediment delivery prediction

technology, Surface Irrigation Soil Loss Model (SISL). Planned or applied systems shall be within the soil loss tolerance (T) or other planned soil loss objectives. Partial removal of residue by means such as baling or grazing shall be limited to retain the amount needed to maintain a positive soil-conditioning index.

# Additional Criteria to Reduce Soil Particulate Emissions

The amount and orientation of residue needed and the amount of surface soil disturbance allowed to reduce wind erosion to the tolerable soil loss value (T) shall be determined using the current approved wind erosion prediction technology. Calculations shall account for the effects of other practices in the conservation management system.

# Additional Criteria to Maintain or Improve Soil Condition

An evaluation of the cropping system using the current approved soil conditioning index procedure shall result in a positive trend.

## Additional Criteria to Increase Plant-Available Moisture

Reducing Evaporation from the Soil Surface – A minimum of 2000 pounds per acre or 60 percent surface residue cover shall be maintained throughout the year.

**Trapping Snow** – Any fall tillage operation shall leave the crop stubble in an upright position.

Crop stubble height during the time significant snowfall is expected to occur shall be:

- at least 10 inches for crops with a row spacing of less than 15 inches
- at least 15 inches for crops with a row spacing of 15 inches or greater

These heights shall be present over at least 50% of the field.

Fall tillage operations shall be done as close to perpendicular as possible to the direction of prevailing winds during the time that significant snowfall is expected to occur.

# Additional Criteria to Provide Food and Escape Cover for Wildlife

The time that residue is present, the amount and orientation of residue and the height of stubble needed to provide adequate food and cover for the target species shall be determined using an approved habitat evaluation procedure.

Harvest or tillage operations that disturb or cover the entire field shall not be performed during the nesting and brood-rearing period of the target species.

#### **CONSIDERATIONS**

**General** – Individual conservation practices should be planned as part of a conservation plan which addresses all resource concerns identified on the planning unit and reaches a Resource Management System (RMS) level of treatment.

Removing of crop residue, such as by baling or grazing, can have a negative impact on resources. These activities should not be performed without full evaluation of impacts on soil, water, animal, plant and air resources.

Mulch till may be practiced continuously throughout the crop sequence or may be managed as part of a residue management system that includes other tillage methods such as no till. Selection of acceptable tillage methods for specific site conditions may be aided by an approved Soil Tillage Suitability Rating.

Production of adequate amounts of crop residue necessary for the proper functioning of this practice can be enhanced by selection of high-residue producing crops and crop varieties in the rotation, use of cover crops and adjustment of plant populations and row spacing.

A field border planted to permanent vegetation can:

- allow unobstructed turning for equipment
- eliminate unproductive end rows
- provide food and escape cover for wildlife
- provide travel lanes for farming operations

Increasing Soil Organic Matter Level and Reducing  $CO_2$  Loss from the Soil – Where improving soil tilth is a concern, use of undercutting tools will enhance accumulation of organic material in the surface layer.  $CO_2$  loss is directly related to the volume of soil disturbed, the intensity of the disturbance and the soil moisture content and soil temperature at the time the disturbance occurs. The following guidelines can make this practice more effective:

- Shallow soil disturbance (1-3 inches) releases less CO<sub>2</sub> than deeper operations.
- When deep soil disturbance is performed, such as by subsoiling or fertilizer injection, make sure the vertical tillage slot created by these implements is closed at the surface.
- Planting with a single-disk opener no-till drill will release less CO<sub>2</sub> than planting with a wide-point hoe/chisel opener air seeder drill.
- Soil disturbance that occurs when soil temperatures are below 50° F will release less CO<sub>2</sub> than operations done when the soil is warmer.

Increasing Plant-available Moisture – The effectiveness of stubble to trap snow increases with stubble height. Increasing the stubble height beyond the minimum required will increase the amount of snow trapped.

Variable height stubble patterns may be created to further increase snow trapping and storage.

Tillage and planting operations done on the contour will help slow overland flow and increase infiltration, thus increasing the potential for increased water storage in the root zone.

**Providing Food and Escape Cover for Wildlife** – Avoid disturbing standing stubble or heavy residue during the nesting season for ground-nesting species.

Forgoing fall shredding or tillage operations will maximize the amount of wildlife food and cover during critical winter months.

Leaving rows of unharvested crop standing at intervals across the field or adjacent to

permanent cover will enhance the value of residues for wildlife food and cover. Leaving unharvested crop rows for two growing seasons will further enhance the value of these areas for wildlife.

### **PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS**

Specifications for application of this practice shall be generated using the current sediment prediction or soil condition tool as described within this standard (RUSLE2, SISL, WEQ, SCI).

Specifications shall be provided to the producer as part of a progressive or complete conservation plan prior to implementation of the practice.

Specifications for establishment and operation of this practice shall be prepared for each field or treatment unit according to the Criteria, Considerations and O&M described in this standard. Specifications shall be recorded using approved specification sheets, job sheets, narrative statements in the conservation plan or other acceptable documentation.

### **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

No operation and maintenance requirements, national in scope, have been identified for this practice.

### **REFERENCES**

Bolton, Ryan. 2003. Impact of the surface residue layer on decomposition, soil water properties and nitrogen dynamics. M.S. thesis. Univ. of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, CA.

Reicosky, D.C., M.J. Lindstrom, T.E. Schumacher, D.E. Lobb and D.D. Malo. 2005. Tillage-induced CO<sub>2</sub> loss across an eroded landscape. Soil Tillage Res. 81:183-194.

Reicosky, D.C. 2004. Tillage-induced soil properties and chamber mixing effects on gas exchange. Proc. 16<sup>th</sup> Triennial Conf., Int. Soil Till. Org. (ISTRO).

Renard, K.G., G.R. Foster, G.A. Weesies, D.K. McCool and D.C. Yoder, coordinators. 1997. Predicting soil erosion by water: A guide to conservation planning with the Revised

NRCS, IDAHO January 2006 Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Handbook No. 703.

Shaffer, M.J., and W.E. Larson (ed.). 1987. Tillage and surface-residue sensitive potential evaporation submodel. In NTRM, a soil-crop simulation model for nitrogen, tillage and crop residue management. USDA Conserv. Res. Rep. 34-1. USDA-ARS.

Skidmore, E.L. and N.P. Woodruff. 1968. Wind erosion forces in the United States and their use in predicting soil loss. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Agriculture Handbook No. 346.

U.S.D.A. Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2002. National Agronomy Manual. 190-V. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.

Carter, D.L. C.E. Brockway, and K.K. Tanji. 1993 Controlling Erosion and Sediment loss from furrow-irrigated cropland.

Lentz, R.D.; I. Shainberg, R.E. Sojka, and D.L. Carter. 1992 Preventing irrigation furrow erosion with small applications of polymers.

Lentz, R.D.; R.E. Sojka, and D.L. Carter. 1996 Furrow irrigation water-quality effects on soil loss and infiltration.